UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,579	08/20/2003	Connie Sanchez	05432/100M919-US1	5200
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 08/14/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770			CHONG, YONG SOO	
	Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Summans	10/644,579 SANCHEZ ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yong S. Chong	1617			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Yong S. Chong</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Jay Leffler</u> .				
(2) <u>Ms. Dianna Goldenson</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>13 August 2009</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>20-40</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>yes</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant argues unexpe</u> was reported that patients who did not respond to initial treescitalopram, the optically pure form. As mentioned before there is no showing of unexpected results since the cited pescitalopram. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A	cted results as reported in the atment with citalopram surprise, this data and line of reasoning rior art teaches that the antides ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that value.	e Zimbroff study of record. It singly responded to ng is not persuasive because epressant activity resides in reed would render the claims would render the claims			
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			
/Yong S. Chong/					
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617					

Application No.

Applicant(s)